

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/005,884	DURUOZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andy Ho	2194	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Andy Ho.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Brian N. Young (Reg. No. 48,602).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 August 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

61

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**WILLIAM THOMSON**  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claims of the amendment filed 6/12/2006 are allowable over the cited references. The examiner suggested the applicant to correct a 112 issue in claim 61. The applicant agreed with the suggestion and authorized the examiner to make the change in an examiner's amendment.